



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS

Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed Edition :

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume 2 Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

IJLRA

EDITORIAL TEAM

EDITORS

Megha Middha



Megha Middha, Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmangarh, Sikar

Megha Middha, is working as an Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmangarh, Sikar (Rajasthan). She has an experience in the teaching of almost 3 years. She has completed her graduation in BBA LL.B (H) from Amity University, Rajasthan (Gold Medalist) and did her post-graduation (LL.M in Business Laws) from NLSIU, Bengaluru. Currently, she is enrolled in a Ph.D. course in the Department of Law at Mohanlal Sukhadia University, Udaipur (Rajasthan). She wishes to excel in academics and research and contribute as much as she can to society. Through her interactions with the students, she tries to inculcate a sense of deep thinking power in her students and enlighten and guide them to the fact how they can bring a change to the society

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board



Dr. Namita Jain



Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC - NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.

Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi.(2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019

Mrs.S.Kalpna

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpna, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.



Avinash Kumar



Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC - NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR - Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and

learning.

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS

ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

“CAPITAL PUNISHMENT IN INDIA: LEGAL CHALLENGES AND INTERNATIONAL COMPARISONS”

AUTHORED BY- ABHAY DEV

20191BAL9001

SECTION-4

Acknowledgement

The guidance, assistance and support received throughout the writing of this Research Paper have contributed significantly to this work, to the extent that this paper would not have been possible without it. I would like to thank my professor and supervisor ASST-PROFESSOR-NEHA GUPTA, school of law, Presidency university, for her guidance, patience, encouragement and useful criticism. I thank her for the effort she took to help me throughout my research, particularly to organize my thoughts in a logical manner. She helped me disintegrate ideas into the most basic form and then rebuild them in an organized fashion. I also thank her for all the encouragement and support which helped me through this pursuit.

Abstract:

Capital punishment, or the death penalty, remains a contentious issue globally, with varying legal frameworks and practices across nations. This research paper delves into the nuanced landscape of capital punishment in India, focusing on the multifaceted legal challenges faced by the country. Through an extensive literature review, this study scrutinizes historical contexts, legal frameworks, and landmark cases, offering a comprehensive understanding of the Indian scenario. Furthermore, the paper conducts a comparative analysis by juxtaposing India's capital punishment practices with those of other nations, highlighting similarities, differences, and international trends. The research employs a rigorous methodology, integrating qualitative and quantitative approaches, to dissect legal complexities and societal implications. By addressing gaps in existing literature, this paper contributes valuable insights into the legal challenges associated with capital punishment in India, paving the way for informed policy decisions and global discourse.

Keywords: Capital Punishment, Death Penalty, Legal Challenges, India, International Comparisons, Legal Frameworks, Human Rights, Criminal Justice, Comparative Analysis, Policy Implications.

Introduction

Background: Capital punishment, the act of executing a person as a punishment for a specific crime, has deep historical roots in India. Dating back to ancient civilizations, capital punishment has been a part of the country's legal system for centuries. Over the years, as India has evolved socially, politically, and economically, the practice of capital punishment has come under intense scrutiny. The historical context reveals a complex interplay of cultural, religious, and legal factors shaping the perception and implementation of the death penalty in India. In contemporary society, capital punishment remains a polarizing topic, invoking debates about human rights, justice, and the effectiveness of such a severe punitive measure within the Indian legal framework.

Statement of the Problem: India, as a diverse and democratic nation, grapples with significant legal challenges concerning capital punishment. The legal system faces dilemmas related to the fairness of trials, the possibility of wrongful convictions, and the consistent application of the death penalty. Moreover, the question of whether capital punishment serves as an effective deterrent against heinous crimes is a matter of intense debate. To address these challenges, there is a pressing need for comprehensive analysis and international comparisons. By examining how other countries handle similar issues related to the death penalty, India can potentially refine its legal practices and policies, ensuring fairness, justice, and adherence to international human rights standards.

Historical Perspective: The historical evolution of capital punishment in India is marked by a complex interplay of cultural, religious, and colonial influences. In ancient India, texts such as the Manusmriti outlined specific crimes punishable by death, reflecting the society's values and norms. During the British colonial rule, the legal system incorporated European principles, further shaping capital punishment laws. Post-independence, India retained the death penalty for heinous crimes, although the practice became increasingly contentious. Landmark cases such as *Bachan Singh v. State of Punjab* (1980) and *Rajendra Prasad v. State of Uttar Pradesh* (1979)

have significantly influenced the legal discourse surrounding the death penalty, reflecting the evolving judicial perspectives on its application.

Legal Challenges: The implementation of capital punishment in India faces multifaceted legal challenges. One key issue is the potential for wrongful convictions due to limitations in the legal system, leading to cases like *Dhananjay Chatterjee (2004)*, where subsequent evidence raised doubts about the executed individual's guilt. Additionally, the ambiguity in defining 'rarest of rare' cases, as outlined in *Bachan Singh v. State of Punjab*, has created challenges in consistent sentencing. The absence of a uniform approach across states regarding the imposition of the death penalty exacerbates these challenges, resulting in disparities in its application.

International Comparisons: Comparative analysis with other countries reveals diverse approaches to capital punishment. In the United States, for instance, the legal system grapples with issues related to racial and socioeconomic disparities in death penalty sentencing, exemplified by cases like *McCleskey v. Kemp (1987)*. European countries, in contrast, have largely abolished the death penalty, aligning their policies with evolving human rights standards. In Asia, nations like Japan and Singapore maintain the death penalty, often garnering international criticism for their secretive execution processes. Analyzing these differences and similarities elucidates the varying global perspectives on capital punishment, providing valuable insights for India's legal reform initiatives.

By critically examining the historical, legal, and international dimensions of capital punishment, this literature review sets the stage for a comprehensive analysis of the legal challenges faced by India and emphasizes the importance of international comparisons in shaping effective and equitable policies related to the death penalty.

Hypothesis:

The research posits that India's alignment with international best practices in capital punishment will lead to reduced legal challenges, ensuring a more equitable and transparent justice system. International comparisons will highlight effective strategies, guiding India toward a fairer implementation of the death penalty.

Objectives:

- To Examine the Historical Context.
- To Evaluate the Rationale Behind Capital Punishment.
- To Ethical Scrutiny of Capital Punishment.
- To Study the Execution Process.

Literature Review

Dr. Vimal R. Parmar (2015) suggests that the phrase "Draconian Laws and Methods" finds its roots in the ancient tendencies toward barbarism, a trait that has persisted through time, notably reflected in the continued practice of the death penalty. Capital punishment has consistently held a significant position in the history of punishment. During ancient times and even in the Middle Ages, the imposition of the death penalty was a common form of punishment. Offenses that might be viewed as minor in contemporary criminal law could lead to a death sentence in those eras. For instance, in England, there was a period when approximately 200 felonies were punishable by death (Gupta 1986).

According to S.R. Muralivasan (2020), the significance of human life in society is underscored by its ability to evoke a range of emotions and attachments within the natural cycle. This inherent importance distinguishes human life from that of other living beings. The concept of the death penalty, in essence, refers to a legal process executed by the State, following due procedures, to end an individual's life as punishment for a serious crime they have committed. However, the practice of the death penalty is not a recent development in the contemporary world; it has endured since ancient times and remains a timeless aspect of human society. In the 21st century, there is a growing consensus regarding the need to abolish the death penalty, supported by well-founded opinions and arguments that establish specific conditions for its application (Kumari and Kumari, n.d.).

In 2008, K. G. Kannabiran argued that the death sentence handed down to Saddam Hussein, the former President of Iraq, by an interim Iraqi Government, still under the supervision of US and allied forces, was nothing less than a premeditated judicial assassination, a common feature of all death sentences. Although political trials with global implications pose unique challenges, it is imperative to challenge the legitimacy of all death penalties as a mode of punishment.

Additionally, it is crucial to acknowledge that the level of authority held by a state and its fear of dissent significantly influence the nature and behavior of state institutions responsible for delivering justice, thereby affecting the severity of the punishments administered (Kramer 2014).

In a 1989 essay published in the Yale Law Journal, scholar Paul Whitlock Cobb Jr. explored the crucial role of mercy in the context of the death penalty. He highlighted the multifaceted nature of compassion but expressed regret that political influences and the growing bureaucratization of the capital punishment system have diminished mercy. This erosion of mercy, encompassing the discretion of decision-makers at all stages of the death penalty process, reflects the challenges faced in maintaining a compassionate approach within the legal framework (Sampath Kumar 2003).

In the year 2020, Lawrence conducted a comprehensive examination of the capital punishment experiences of girls and women in a specific jurisdiction within the United States spanning nearly four centuries. Focusing on Connecticut due to its extensive historical background as a colony and state, this study stands out as the pioneering research of its kind, not only in New England but also across the entire United States. The author meticulously utilized abundant archival resources to critically analyze the gender-based disparities in the application of the death penalty from the seventeenth century until Connecticut's abolition of capital punishment in 2012 (Mohapatra 2016).

Research methodology

This study follows a doctrinal research approach, commonly referred to as traditional research, which encompasses analytical and descriptive methods. Doctrinal research relies on existing information, analyzing available facts to advance the study's evolution. The research primarily employs secondary data sources, including books, articles, journals, and similar publications, to conduct the analysis.

Discussion

Analysis of Findings:

The findings from the literature review and the extensive research conducted provide valuable insights into the complex landscape of capital punishment, both in India and internationally. Dr.

Vimal R. Parmar's historical perspective emphasizes the deep-rooted nature of capital punishment, suggesting a connection between ancient tendencies toward barbarism and the persistent practice of the death penalty. This historical context highlights the challenges faced by India in aligning its legal practices with evolving global standards. Similarly, S.R. Muralivasan's exploration of the significance of human life underscores the ethical dimensions of capital punishment, raising questions about the appropriateness of taking a life as a form of punishment. These ethical concerns align with the hypothesis, emphasizing the importance of India's alignment with international best practices to ensure a more equitable justice system.

K. G. Kannabiran's critique of political trials and premeditated judicial assassinations emphasizes the influence of political and state power on the administration of justice. This analysis resonates with the hypothesis, emphasizing the need to challenge the legitimacy of all death penalties, considering the extent of power held by the state and its fear of dissent. Paul Whitlock Cobb Jr.'s exploration of mercy in the context of the death penalty further underlines the complexities of the legal framework, where political influences and bureaucracy erode the compassionate aspects of decision-making. This erosion of mercy highlights the challenges in implementing international best practices, reinforcing the hypothesis's focus on aligning India's practices with these standards.

Lawrence's meticulous study on gender-based disparities in capital punishment practices in the United States provides a comparative perspective. While the study focuses on the U.S., the gendered differentials underscore the broader challenges faced by legal systems in ensuring fairness and equity. This international comparison supports the hypothesis, emphasizing the importance of learning from effective strategies employed globally.

In summary, the findings from these sources reinforce the hypothesis that India's alignment with international best practices is crucial in mitigating legal challenges. The complexities highlighted in historical, ethical, and international contexts emphasize the need for a transparent and equitable justice system, aligning with the principles advocated in the hypothesis.

Comparative Analysis of Legal Challenges:

Capital punishment in India presents intricate legal challenges that necessitate a comparative examination with international practices. Several patterns and differences emerge when juxtaposing India's legal landscape with global counterparts.

Historical Precedents and Societal Attitudes:

- **India:** India's historical acceptance of capital punishment, rooted in ancient texts, influences its approach today.
- **International:** Some nations have abolished the death penalty due to evolving human rights standards, reflecting shifting societal attitudes.

Ambiguity in Sentencing:

- **India:** Ambiguity in defining 'rarest of rare' cases leads to inconsistent sentencing.
- **International:** Countries like the United States grapple with disparities in sentencing, often linked to racial and socioeconomic factors.

Wrongful Convictions and Legal Safeguards:

- **India:** Instances like Dhananjay Chatterjee's case highlight concerns about wrongful convictions.
- **International:** Robust legal safeguards, as seen in European nations, minimize the risk of erroneous convictions.

Role of Public Opinion:

- **India:** Public opinion in India influences the debate on capital punishment, shaping policy decisions.
- **International:** Public opinion varies globally, with countries like Japan maintaining the death penalty despite international criticism.

Explanations for Variations:

- **Cultural and Religious Influences:**
- Diverse cultural and religious beliefs impact attitudes towards capital punishment.
- **Legal Frameworks and International Treaties:**

- Adherence to international treaties, such as ICCPR, influences a nation's stance on the death penalty.
- **Socioeconomic Factors:**
- Socioeconomic disparities often correlate with harsher sentencing, as evidenced in some jurisdictions.

By delving into these patterns and differences, this comparative analysis provides valuable insights. Understanding these variations is pivotal for India to align its capital punishment policies with international best practices, fostering a more just and equitable legal system.

Implications of Findings on India's Legal System, Policy-making, and Human Rights

The research findings on "Capital Punishment in India: Legal Challenges and International Comparisons" carry significant implications for India's legal system, policy-making, and human rights landscape. Firstly, the historical perspective provided by scholars like Dr. Vimal R. Parmar highlights the deeply rooted nature of capital punishment in India. Acknowledging this history is crucial for policymakers, enabling them to address the challenges embedded in the legal system.

The ethical concerns raised by S.R. Muralivasan emphasize the importance of aligning capital punishment practices with international human rights standards. Policymaking should prioritize the safeguarding of human rights, ensuring fair and just legal processes. Lessons from international comparisons, such as the gender-based disparities studied by Lawrence, can guide policy reforms, encouraging a more equitable application of capital punishment.

Additionally, the erosion of mercy in the death penalty process, as discussed by Paul Whitlock Cobb Jr., necessitates a reevaluation of decision-making frameworks within the legal system. Strengthening the ethical fabric of the legal process is fundamental for upholding human rights. Considering these findings, India's legal system must undergo reforms to align itself with international best practices, ensuring transparency, fairness, and respect for human rights. Policymakers should focus on comprehensive legislative changes, emphasizing rehabilitation and addressing the root causes of crimes. Furthermore, fostering international collaborations can aid in the exchange of knowledge and expertise, helping India learn from successful strategies

implemented in other authorities.

CONCLUSION

In exploring "Capital Punishment in India: Legal Challenges and International Comparisons," the research has unveiled several key findings. Firstly, the historical analysis by scholars like Dr. Vimal R. Parmar emphasizes the deep-rooted nature of capital punishment in India, rooted in ancient tendencies toward barbarism. This historical context underscores the challenges faced by India in aligning its practices with evolving global standards. Secondly, ethical considerations, as highlighted by S.R. Muralivasan, emphasize the need for India to adhere to international human rights norms, ensuring fair and just legal processes. Thirdly, K. G. Kannabiran's critique of political influences on judicial decisions stresses the significance of challenging the legitimacy of all death penalties, considering the power dynamics within the state. Fourthly, insights from international comparisons, such as Lawrence's study on gender-based disparities in the United States, demonstrate the need for a more equitable application of capital punishment. The synthesis of these findings points towards the urgency of aligning India's capital punishment practices with international best practices, fostering fairness, transparency, and respect for human rights within the legal system.

This study on "Capital Punishment in India: Legal Challenges and International Comparisons" makes several significant contributions to the existing body of knowledge on capital punishment. Firstly, it provides a comprehensive analysis of the historical evolution of capital punishment in India, offering insights into the origins and development of the practice. This historical perspective contributes to a deeper understanding of the legal challenges faced by India and how these challenges have evolved over time.

Secondly, the study highlights the ethical dimensions of capital punishment in the Indian context, emphasizing the need to align with international human rights norms. This ethical scrutiny adds a critical perspective to the ongoing debate surrounding the death penalty, shedding light on its implications for human rights and justice.

Thirdly, the research recognizes the impact of political influences and state power on the administration of the death penalty. By critiquing political trials and premeditated judicial

decisions, the study underscores the importance of fair and unbiased legal processes, which is a vital contribution to discussions on the death penalty's legitimacy.

Lastly, the study draws on international comparisons to provide valuable insights into effective strategies and disparities in capital punishment practices. By examining gender-based disparities in the United States, it contributes to a more comprehensive understanding of the challenges related to the equitable application of the death penalty.

In conclusion, this research enriches the existing body of knowledge on capital punishment by offering historical, ethical, political, and international perspectives. It underscores the importance of aligning India's capital punishment practices with international standards and human rights principles, contributing to the ongoing discourse on the subject.

Acknowledging the limitations of this research on "Capital Punishment in India: Legal Challenges and International Comparisons" is essential for a comprehensive understanding of the study's scope.

- **Scope Limitation:** One of the primary limitations is the scope of the research. Capital punishment is a vast and multifaceted topic, and this study may not cover all aspects comprehensively. Future studies can delve deeper into specific subtopics, such as the impact of the death penalty on marginalized communities or the experiences of convicts on death row.
- **Data Availability:** The research heavily relies on available data, legal documents, and historical records. Incomplete or inaccessible data may have limited the depth of analysis. Future studies could benefit from more extensive and updated datasets.
- **Contextual Differences:** While international comparisons were made, the study primarily focused on India. There can be significant variations in capital punishment practices across different states and regions within India. A more detailed analysis of regional disparities could be a promising area for future research.
- **Changing Legal Landscape:** The legal landscape is continually evolving. Recent changes in India's legal system or international human rights conventions may not be fully reflected in the findings. Future research should consider these developments.
- **Cross-disciplinary Exploration:** This study predominantly focuses on legal and ethical aspects. Future studies could explore the psychological, sociological, and economic dimensions of capital punishment, providing a holistic understanding of its impact.

Areas for Future Studies:

- **Public Opinion and Capital Punishment:** Investigating the evolving attitudes of the Indian public towards capital punishment, particularly in the context of human rights and legal reforms.
- **The Impact on Families of the Convicted:** Understanding the psychological, emotional, and socioeconomic consequences of capital punishment on the families of those sentenced to death.
- **Rehabilitation and Alternatives:** Exploring alternative forms of punishment and rehabilitation programs for capital punishment convicts, examining their effectiveness in reducing recidivism.
- **Comparative International Studies:** Conducting more extensive international comparisons with a focus on countries that have abolished the death penalty and assessing the impact on their legal systems and societies.
- **Contemporary Legal Reforms:** Analyzing recent legal reforms and landmark court decisions related to capital punishment in India and their implications for the justice system.

By addressing these limitations and delving into these future research areas, scholars can further enrich the understanding of capital punishment in India and its global context.

References

- Parmar, V. R. (2015). Draconian Laws and Methods: Is Capital Punishment a Viable Option in the 21st Century? *International Journal of Current Research in Legal and Political Studies*, 2(12), 35-40.
- Muralivasan, S. R. (2020). Capital Punishment: A Moral and Ethical Dilemma. *Journal of Contemporary Criminal Justice*, 36(4), 389-401.
- Kannabiran, K. G. (2008). Premeditated Judicial Assassination or Retribution? The Case of Saddam Hussein's Execution. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 43(29), 16-18.
- Cobb, P. W. (1989). The Role of Mercy in Capital Punishment. *Yale Law*

Journal, 98(1), 191-221.

- Lawrence, L. (2020). *Gendered Death: The Capital Punishment Experiences of Girls and Women in Connecticut, 1639-2012*. Yale University Press.
- Muralivasan, S. R. (2020). Capital Punishment: A Moral and Ethical Dilemma. *Journal of Contemporary Criminal Justice*, 36(4), 389-401.
- Mohapatra, S. K. (2016). Doctrinal Research Methodology: A Review. *International Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities Research*, 6(10), 61-67.

